Quarkonia in Jets

Philip Ilten

UNIVERSITY OF BIRMINGHAM

May 9, 2018

BIRMINGHAM SEMINAR

QCD at the LHC



QCD at the LHC



QCD at the LHC



Factorising QCD







$$\mathrm{d}\sigma \approx \sigma \left(\frac{2\,\mathrm{d}\cos\theta}{\sin^2\theta}\right)\left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right)\left(\frac{N_c^2-1}{2N_c}\right)\left(\frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z}\right)\mathrm{d}z$$

- factorise into general form given any splitting kernel $\mathcal{P}_i$

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma \approx \sigma \sum_i \frac{\mathrm{d}\theta^2}{\theta^2}\mathcal{P}_i\left(z, \alpha_s\right)\mathrm{d}z$$

- diverges when collinear $(\theta \to 0, \pi)$ or infrared $(z \to 0)$

Sudakovs and Splitting Kernels

$$\Delta(Q_1^2, Q^2) = \exp\left[-\int_{Q^2}^{Q_1^2} \frac{1}{q^2} \int_{Q_0^2/q^2}^{1-Q_0^2/q^2} \mathcal{P}_i(z, \alpha_s)\, \mathrm{d}z\, \mathrm{d}q^2\right]$$

1. pick a random number $r \in [0, 1]$
2. solve $\Delta(Q_1^2, Q^2) = r$ for Q^2
3. if $Q > Q_0$ generate emission and repeat from 1
4. if $Q \leq Q_0$ terminate shower



$g \to gg$

$$\frac{1-z}{z} + \frac{z}{1-z} + z(1-z)$$



$q \to qg$

$$\frac{1-z}{z} + \frac{z}{2} - 2\mu$$

$g \to qq$

$$z^2 + (1-z)^2 + \mu^2$$

Reverse Engineering with Jets

- unfold final state particles to initial hard partons
  1. collinear safe → collinear emission changes nothing
  2. infrared safe → soft emission changes nothing
  3. insensitive to non-perturbative effects
  4. applicable to both parton and hadron level
- inclusive sequential clustering is algorithm of choice at LHC

$$d_{ij} = \min(p_{\mathrm{T}i}^{k}, p_{\mathrm{T}j}^{k}) \frac{\Delta R_{ij}^{2}}{R^{2}}, \quad d_{iB} = p_{\mathrm{T}i}^{k}$$

1. select minimum d
2. if d_{ij}, combine particle i and j
3. if d_{iB}, consider particle as jet and remove from clustering
4. terminate if no particles otherwise return to 1

Flavours of Sequential Clustering

Cambridge/Aachen | k_t | anti-k_t

arXiv:1111.6097







$k = 0$ | $k = 2$ | $k = -2$

- Cambridge/Aachen considers only geometry
- k_t and anti-k_t also consider momentum
- anti-k_t provides circular jets in R at high-p_{T}

Tagging a Jet

LHCb, JINST 10 (2015) P06013

From Theory to Detector



- jet properties depend on initiating parton

	c-hadron	b-hadron
mass	2 GeV	5 GeV
lifetime ($c\tau$)	0.1 mm	0.5 mm
multiplicity	≈ 2	≈ 3

Enter LHCb



1. good momentum and mass resolution
2. excellent secondary vertex resolution

Tagging Ingredients







$$M_{\text{cor}} = \sqrt{M^2 + p^2 \sin^2\theta} + p\sin\theta$$



A Pinch of Machine Learning

- 10 total observables (3 jet related) input to boosted decision trees
- two BDTs: *udsg* *vs.* *cb* and *c* *vs.* *b*
- fit 2-dimensional distribution of the two BDTs



Tag and Probe









Some Cool Measurements







- W + jets: *LHCb, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015)*
- top production: *LHCb, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015)*
- IC: *Boettcher, Ilten and Williams, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016)*

J/ψ in a Jet

LHCb, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 (2017)

The Polarization Puzzle





colour singlet
low p_T
longitudinal pol.





colour octet
high p_T
transverse pol.

A Tale of Two Pictures

1. NRQCD hard process, octet states showered with QCD splittings
2. shower with NRQCD splittings, match with hard process



hard production



shower production



- build $J/\psi \to \mu\mu$ candidates
- build jets with J/ψ input
- select jets with J/ψs
- $z \equiv p_{\mathrm{T}}(J/\psi)/p_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{jet})$

LHCb Trigger

- real-time calibration and full event reconstruction in Run 2
- full detector readout in Run 3



Dimuon Trigger



- fully reconstructed event written to disk, including particle flow
- jets can be fully reconstructed, sans hadronic calorimetry

Prompt and Displaced



- determine J/ψ signal yield with mass fits
- separate prompt (direct) from displaced ($b \rightarrow J/\psi$) yields with pseudo-lifetime fits, $\tilde{\tau} \equiv (x_z - x_z(\mathrm{PV}))m/p_z$



Unfolding

- correct for z resolution and $p_{\mathrm{T}}(j)$ resolution, $\approx 20 - 25\%$
- perform 2D unfolding in z and $p_{\mathrm{T}}(j)$ (iterative D'Agostini)



Displaced Results



Displaced Results



Prompt Results



Prompt Results



Jets in a Jet?

Ilten, Rodd, Thaler and Williams,
Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017)

SoftDrop and Jet Sub-structure

- what happens with boosted topology when $Q_{\text{hard}} \gg Q_{\text{obs}}$, *e.g.* $W, Z, H \rightarrow q\bar{q}$?
- anti-k_t produces a single jet $\rightarrow$ need jet sub-structure
- use jet sub-structure technique like SoftDrop



$$\frac{\min(p_{\text{T}1}, p_{\text{T}2})}{p_{\text{T}1} + p_{\text{T}2}} > z_{\text{cut}} \left(\frac{\Delta R_{12}}{R} \right)^{\beta}$$

1. create fat anti-k_t jets
2. build Cambridge/Aachen tree for each fat jet
3. split j_0 into sub-jets j_1 and j_2
4. if j_1 and j_2 fulfil SoftDrop condition, terminate
5. otherwise, assign j_0 to larger p_{T} sub-jet and return to 3

Averaged Massless Splittings



$$\frac{1-z}{z} + \frac{z}{1-z} + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$z_g \equiv \frac{p_{T1}}{p_{T1} + p_{T2}}$$



- SoftDrop provides direct access to the hardest $1 \to 2$ splitting

Jet Anatomy

1. find all tags in event and treat as *ghosts*
2. build anti-k_t jets with $R = 1$, including tags
3. apply SoftDrop with $z_{\text{cut}} > 0.1$ and $\beta = 0$
4. consider sub-jet tagged if $p_{\text{T}}^{\text{tag}}/(p_{\text{T}1} + p_{\text{T}2}) > 0.05$







$(0, 0)_Q$ $(0, 1)_Q$ $(1, 1)_Q$

Some Numbers

	σ(Pythia) [μb]	σ(Herwig++) [μb]
$(0,0)_c$	9.96×10^{2}	5.28×10^{2}
$(0,1)_c$	7.56×10^{1}	2.64×10^{1}
$(1,1)_c$	6.87×10^{0}	2.87×10^{0}
$(0,2)_c$	1.00×10^{1}	5.64×10^{0}
other_c	8.86×10^{-1}	2.47×10^{-1}
$(0,0)_b$	1.07×10^{3}	5.52×10^{2}
$(0,1)_b$	1.34×10^{1}	9.58×10^{0}
$(1,1)_b$	8.40×10^{-1}	5.03×10^{-1}
$(0,2)_b$	9.50×10^{-1}	5.94×10^{-1}
other_b	1.13×10^{-2}	7.75×10^{-3}

- missed tags migrate category up $\rightarrow$ minimal contamination
- efficiency of tagging well understood from data

Heavy Flavour Splittings



Quarkonia Splitting





Conclusions

Outlook

- LHCb has unique jet tagging capabilities
  - valence and strange quark PDFs
  - top asymmetry
  - intrinsic charm
- J/ψ production is not isolated!
  - reconsider theory with parton shower framework
  - implications for J/ψ production in medium
  - more measurements are needed
- SoftDrop accesses fundamental $1 \rightarrow 2$ QCD splittings
  - paired with jet tagging, probe heavy flavour splitting
  - new technique to understand J/ψ production

Thank you!

Appendix

Efficiencies

$$\frac{\color{red}{N(\text{probe SV})}}{\color{blue}{N(\text{total})}}$$

- $N(\text{probe SV})$ from BDT fit
- $N(\text{total})$ from hardest χ^2_{IP} fit







Probing the Proton





W with a Jet



1. trigger on a high p_{T} muon
2. build jets in the event
3. require jet containing muon j_μ and tagged jet j
4. bin data as a function of isolation, $p_{\mathrm{T}}(\mu)/p_{\mathrm{T}}(j_\mu)$
5. determine flavour in each isolation bin with BDT fit
6. fit isolation to determine signal

Flavour Determination



Signal Determination



Topping the W



A Tricky Background



- constrain Wb with Wj
- scale with Wb/Wj theory
- validate with Wc





Putting Everything Together



$-0.09 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.04$ $\mathcal{A}(Wc)$
$0.51 \pm 0.20 \pm 0.09$ $\mathcal{A}(Wb)$
$5.80 \pm 0.44 \pm 0.75$ $\sigma(Wc)/\sigma(Wj) \times 10^2$
$0.66 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.13$ $\sigma(Wb)/\sigma(Wj) \times 10^2$
$6.61 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.33$ $\sigma(W^-j)/\sigma(Zj)$
$10.49 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.53$ $\sigma(W^+j)/\sigma(Zj)$

$-0.01 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.04$ $\mathcal{A}(Wc)$
$0.27 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.09$ $\mathcal{A}(Wb)$
$5.62 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.73$ $\sigma(Wc)/\sigma(Wj) \times 10^2$
$0.78 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.16$ $\sigma(Wb)/\sigma(Wj) \times 10^2$
$6.02 \pm 0.13 \pm 0.30$ $\sigma(W^-j)/\sigma(Zj)$
$9.44 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.47$ $\sigma(W^+j)/\sigma(Zj)$



7 TeV $239 \pm 53 \pm 41$ [fb]
8 TeV $289 \pm 43 \pm 49$ [fb]

Intrinsic Charm







Bonus Intrinsic Charm



type	time
pNe	30m
PbNe	30m
pNe	12h
pHe	7h
pAr	20h
pAr	11h
PbAr	100h
pHe	20h
pHe	87h

Heavy Flavour Production

- understanding heavy flavour production critical for many signals
- two approaches typically taken
  1. hadron-level: good angular properties, poor energy proxy
  2. tagged jet-level: poor angular properties, good energy proxy





FlavorCone

- good angular properties, good energy proxy
- collinear and infrared safe by jet-axis definition



1. given n tags define n jet-axes
2. particles outside of R with an jet-axis is not clustered
3. remaining particles are clustered with nearest axis
4. jet momenta is sum of constituents

Comparison


LHCb: $p_T^{lead} > 20$ GeV, $z_{sub} > 0.1$, $\eta \in [2.5, 4.5]$
c-hadron tag
Pythia
R = 0.5
FlavorCone
FlavorConeJet
Anti-k_t
Q-hadron× 0.9
(1/σ)(dσ/dΔφ)
Δφ
0.0
0.2
0.4
0.6
0.8
1.0
1.2
1.4
0.5
1.0
1.5
2.0
2.5
3.0

Variable Discrimination