Measurements of photon-photon fusion at ATLAS

 \sim

Mateusz Dyndal (AGH UST Krakow)

Birmingham HEP seminar

* Event display for an exclusive $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ candidate in ATLAS, where one of the photons converts in the transition radiation tracker volume

m

Introduction

- **Boosted charged-particles** are intense source of photons
- Quasi-real photon flux
 - E_{max} ~γ/R ~2 TeV (protons @LHC) ~80 GeV (Pb ions @LHC)





detection at small forward angles in the ZDC. The ZDCs [31,32] are

pendicular to the beam direction). The

continued and extended by st heavy ion collisions (UPCs) at teractions of two heavy nucles cleus) in which a nucleus en that interacts with the other nu collisions have the distinct f emitting nucleus either does no a few neutrons through Coulo substantial rapidity gap in the kinematics can be readily ide LHC detectors, ATLAS and consider the feasibility of stud tions pioneered at HERA: pa diffraction. The third, quarkon cussed previously [4, 5, 6]. It AA scattering can extend the characterized by $\sqrt{s_{\gamma N}}$, by all 9) affateular, investigate the or



FIG. 1: Diagram of dijet producti where the photon carries momen



Theoretical calculations (simplified view)

- The cross section for AA ($\gamma\gamma$) \rightarrow AA X process can be calculated using:
 - (1) Number of equivalent photons (EPA) by integration of relevant EM formfactors:

$$\frac{Z^2 \alpha_{em}}{\pi^2 \omega} \left| \int \mathrm{d}q_\perp q_\perp^2 \frac{F(Q^2)}{Q^2} J_1(bq_\perp) \right|^2$$

(2) EW $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow X$ (elementary) cross section:

$$\sigma_{A_1A_2(\gamma\gamma)\to A_1A_2X}^{\text{EPA}} = \iint d\omega_1 \ d\omega_2 \ n_1($$

(3) Extra absorptive corrections (when the ions/protons overlap in impact parameter space:

 $n_1(\omega_1) \ n_2(\omega_2) \rightarrow$



$$\int \int n(\vec{b}_1, \omega_1) n(\vec{b}_2, \omega_2) P_{non-inel}(|\vec{b}_1 - \vec{b}_2|) d^2 \vec{b}_1 d^2 \vec{b}_2$$



Experimental approach

Exclusive final states \rightarrow **Exclusivity requirements** are essential

- Many sub-detectors available in ATLAS \bullet
- Outgoing ions escape into beampipe, protons can be tagged by **AFP** spectrometers Accounting for proton/ion **dissociation** is also important
- ullet





4

Outline

- A set of new ATLAS measurements will be covered in this talk:
 - Exclusive **dimuon** production in ultraperipheral Pb+Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV with ATLAS [arXiv:2011.12211]
 - Measurement of light-by-light scattering and search for axion-like particles with 2.2 nb⁻¹ of Pb+Pb data with the ATLAS detector [JHEP 03 (2021) 243]

- Observation and measurement of **forward proton** scattering in association with lepton pairs produced via the photon fusion mechanism at ATLAS [Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (2020) 261801]
- Observation of **photon-induced WW** production in pp collisions \bullet at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using the ATLAS detector [Phys. Lett. B 816 (2021) 136190]

Pb+Pb data $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$

pp data √s = 13 TeV

Pb





6

- 'Standard candle' process
 - Good sensitivity for Pb EM formfactors → **photon fluxes**
 - Sensitivity to probe higher-order corrections (FSR, extra ion-ion "Coulomb" exchanges)
- Events categorised wrt **ZDC** neutron activity (0n0n, 0nXn, XnXn)



arXiv:2011.12211





7

ATLAS

0.04

- Event selection
 - ==2 muons with $p_T > 4$ GeV, |eta| < 2.4
 - no other charged-particle track activity
- Signal and background modelling
 - Signal: STARlight+Pythia8 (LO+FSR) STARlight: Klein et al. Comput. Phys. Commun. 212 (2017) 258-268
 - Semi-coherent background ($\gamma^*\gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu$): LPair 4.0 (pp), fit to dimuon acoplanarity



arXiv:2011.12211





- Differential cross sections as function of $m_{\mu\mu}$, $|y_{\mu\mu}|$, $cos(\theta^*)$
 - In reasonable agreement with STARlight



arXiv:2011.12211

• Some disagreement seen mainly at large $|y_{\mu\mu}| \rightarrow$ Translated into disagreement at low and high photon energies



- Differential cross sections as function of dimuon acoplanarity (0n0n)
 - Benchmark scenario to test FSR corrections
 - Fair FSR modeling by STARlight+Pythia8



arXiv:2011.12211

- Event fractions with activity in ZDC are also measured differentially
 - Sensitive to extra Coulomb interactions between Pb ions
 → indirect probe of impact parameter in UPC
 - Measurement needs correction for "EM pileup" contribution
 - Observing less fragmentation in data vs STARlight

arXiv:2011.12211

11

(II) Light-by-light scattering and search for Axion-like particles in PbPb

LbyL scattering in PbPb

- Rare O(α_{EM}⁴) process
 - Sensitive to BSM physics
- Previous LHC measurements:
 - 2015 data: ATLAS & CMS (~4σ evidence)
 - 2018 data: ATLAS (8.2σ observation)

• The new analysis covers:

- Exploration of full Run-2 Pb+Pb dataset
- Differential cross-section measurement
- Search for axion-like particles

Original idea: PRL 111 (2013) 080405

ATLAS, <u>Nature Phys. 13 (2017) 852</u> CMS, <u>PLB 797 (2019) 134826</u> ATLAS, <u>PRL 123 (2019) 052001</u>

- Detectors are pushed to the limits
 - Very low E_T photons ($E_T > 2.5$ GeV)
- Backgrounds

 - Estimated using data-driven methods

LbyL scattering in PbPb

- Cross section measurements
 - Fiducial and differential $(\mathbf{m}_{\gamma\gamma}, |\mathbf{y}_{\gamma\gamma}|, |\mathbf{cos}(\theta^*)|, \mathbf{average } \mathbf{p}_{T}^{\gamma})$ cross sections, comparison with **SuperChic 3 MC** [Harland-Lang et al. EPJC 79 (2019) 1, 39]
 - Integrated fiducial cross section about 1.7σ higher than the predictions [Klusek-Gawenda et al. PRC 93 (2016) 044907, Harland-Lang et al. EPJC 79 (2019) 39]

JHEP 03 (2021) 243

Search for Axion-like particles (ALPs)

- Idea: search for new $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow a \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ resonances
 - Background includes SM LbyL, CEP γγ and ee
 - ALP signal generated with STARlight MC for various ma
- No significant deviation from SM predictions observed \rightarrow limits on $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma \rightarrow a \rightarrow \gamma\gamma}$ are extracted
 - Limits on σ are cast into limits on $a\gamma\gamma$ coupling $(1/\Lambda_a)$
 - Most stringent ALP constraints (6< m_a <100 GeV) to date

18

The ATLAS Forward Proton (AFP) detectors

- Far station: Silicon Tracker + Time-Of-Flight detector (16 Cherenkov Quartz bars)
- Full AFP installation successful in April 2017 -> Participation in most LHC fills in 2017

ATLAS central detector

• Detectors are housed in **4 Roman Pots** (two in each side: ±205 m and ±217 m from ATLAS IP)

• Near station: Silicon Tracker with 4 planes (336 × 80 pixels per plane, 50 × 250 µm² pitch)

 \cap

-0.02

0

- Goal: observe ($\gamma\gamma \rightarrow I+I-$) + **p** and measure cross-section
 - Exclusive and S-diss are treated as signal
- Dataset: 14.6 fb⁻¹ of 13 TeV pp data
- Pioneering performance work is performed to understand new AFP detector
 - Proton reconstruction: proton transport function based on MAD-X simulation
 - <u>Reconstruction efficiencies</u>: T&P method between Near and Far stations
 - <u>Detector alignment</u>: BLMs + in-situ corrections based on selected dimuon events

$$\xi_{\ell\ell}^{\pm} = (m_{\ell\ell}/\sqrt{s})e^{\pm y_{\ell\ell}}$$
$$\xi_{AFP} = 1 - E_p/E_{beam}$$

key observables!

0.02

۶A

PAFP

0.04

۶A

0.02

۶C

0

-0.02

- Background
 - Dominated by Drell-Yan (DY) +pileup proton combinatorics
 - Fully data-driven method is used (event mixing + sideband fit in $|\xi_{AFP} \xi_{II}| > 0.005$)
 - Validated in 70< m_{II} <105 GeV region
- Signal events required to pass |ξ_{AFP}-ξ_{II}|<0.005
 - Kinematic matching
 - Cleans events from inclusive background

21

- Fiducial cross-sections measured in the region $\xi \in [0.035, 0.08]$
 - Event kinematics in SR in agreement with expectations ullet
- Results are compared to several theory predictions

		$\sigma_{ee+p}^{\text{fid.}}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{\mu\mu+p}^{\text{fid.}}$ [fb]
Measurement		11.0 ± 2.9	7.2 ± 1.8
Predictions			
$S_{ m surv} = 1$			
HERWIG+LPAIR		15.5 ± 1.2	13.5 ± 1.1
$S_{\rm surv}$ using Refs.	[31,30]		
Herwig+Lpair		10.9 ± 0.8	9.2 ± 0.7
SuperChic 4	[Harland-Lar	ng et al. EPJ	C 80 (2020
Exclusive $+$ single	e-dissociative	12.2 ± 0.9	10.4 ± 0.7
			sligh

PRL 125 (2020) 261801

10, 925]

itly different phase-space between ee and µµ

p

p

(IV) $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow WW$ scattering in pp

- Rare electroweak process that probes SM **yyWW** vertex
- New measurement exploits full Run-2 pp dataset
 - Follow-up of Run-1 "evidence" measurements: ATLAS: PRD 94 (2016) 032011, CMS: JHEP 1608 (2016) 119
 - Tag $e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$ pairs with $p_{T}e^{\mu} > 30$ GeV ($p_{T}e^{\mu}$ as a MET proxy)
 - Key signature: No tracks near dilepton vertex (note no AFP tag is used)
- Main background: QCD-induced WW
- Main challenge: Physics modelling of events with small particle activity (UE, pileup, ...)

- Charged-particle multiplicity (N_{ch}) modelling corrections
 - <u>Underlying event correction</u>: reweight inclusive WW and DY ττ • <u>Pileup correction</u>: correct for PU tracks randomly backgrounds such that N_{ch} spectrum matches data associated with the interaction vertex (weights derived in Z-peak region) (data-driven technique is employed)

- Dedicated signal corrections
 - Exclusive efficiency ($N_{trk} = 0$) in MC signal corrected using data-driven measurement
 - Baseline signal MC includes only fully elastic contribution \rightarrow N_{trk} = 0 events corrected with **dissociative SF (3.59±0.15)** using $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow I+I$ - events for m_{II} > 2m_W

- Simultaneous fit performed to yields in **SR** and **3 CRs**
 - CRs used to constrain inclusive WW and DY $\tau\tau$
- 307 events observed in SR (132 background events expected)
 - $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow WW$ observed with a significance of 8.4 σ (6.7 σ expected)
- Measured fiducial cross-section: 3.13 ±0.31 (stat.) ±0.28 (syst.) fb
- Theory predictions:
 - Herwig7 (elastic) scaled by 3.59 = **2.34 ±0.27 fb**
 - (MG5+Pythia8 based) lie in the range of 2.8 and 3.5 fb
 - Depending on the choice of soft survival model

(V) Prospects for new measurements and some open questions

Prospects for new measurements (a biased example)

- Tau anomalous magnetic moment (tau g-2)
- Poorly constrained experimentally so far
 - Can be sensitive to BSM effects \bullet
- Large rate of $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau + \tau$ events in PbPb UPC
 - lepton + X channels to allow easy triggering and reconstruction
 - Ratio to ee/mumu process to cancel systematics ullet

 Prospect studies: can improve LEP a_τ precision by ~x2 with existing ATLAS data

> MD, Klusek-Gawenda, Schott, Szczurek PLB 809 (2020) 135682

Beresford, Liu PRD 102 (2020) 113008

 $a_{\tau} = (g_{\tau} - 2)/2$

Prospects for new measurements (a biased example)

- $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow I+I-$ in pPb can be used to probe photon-PDF
 - Necessary ingredient in precision EW physics
- Clean background-free environment
 - Relies on ZDC veto to suppress inclusive production
- Important to probe transverse momentum distribution of photons in the proton
 - Up to 30% difference between collinear LUXqed PDF and equivalent calculations with photon qT see also Harland-Lang JHEP 03 (2020) 128)
- Expecting ~3000 inelastic events per lepton channel for existing 2016 pPb dataset @ 8.16 TeV
 - This data can be also used to validate/tune UE/PS for photon-induced proton dissociation

[MD, Glazov, Luszczak, Sadykov, PRD 99 (2019) 114008]

do/dY [nb]

Some open questions

- photon fusion processes at the LHC:
- Agreement of Pb+Pb dimuon data with STARlight
 - Points to (mis)modeling of initial photon fluxes? \bullet
 - Individual impact parameter cuts in STARlight unph \bullet
- Strong-field QED effects in Pb+Pb? lepton-ion Coulomb corrections, …
 - Note $Za \sim 0.6$ for Pb ion... \bullet
 - Can be a large effect (-20% for lepton pairs arXiv:2103.04605) \bullet
- FSR modeling in two-photon reactions: Pythia8 does general QED shower, but no guarantee to work when $p_T(gamma) > p_T(I)$
 - Need for dedicated calculations (NLO vs QED shower, matching, ...) \bullet
- Modeling of photon-induced dissociation in pp:
 - studies are likely needed

Despite being "pure" EWK processes, there are some open questions regarding photon-

nysical?
$$\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma}}{dWdy} = \mathcal{L}_{AA} \frac{W}{2} \int_{b_1 > R_A} d^2 b_1 \int_{b_2 > R_A} d^2 b_2 n(k_1, b_1) n(k_2, b_2)$$

Good progress in MC generators (use of structure functions, survival factors etc.), but a dedicated UE/PS modeling

Summary

- Rich physics program of two-photon interactions at the LHC
 - At the 'boundary' of **electroweak**, **forward** and **heavy-ion** physics lacksquare
 - Measurements utilise both **pp** and **Pb+Pb** dataset
- Diverse set of measurements performed with ATLAS, including:
 - **Precision** (differential) cross section measurements lacksquare
 - Non-standard **BSM** searches
 - First proton-tagged photon collisions observed with new AFP detector
 - **Observation** of new SM processes ($\gamma\gamma \rightarrow WW$ scattering)
 - This is clearly way beyond "simple **QED** testing"
 - Excellent groundwork for future, more detailed studies \rightarrow stay tuned!

LbyL scattering in PbPb

Source of uncertainty

Trigger efficiency Photon reco. efficiency Photon PID efficiency Photon energy scale Photon energy resoluti Photon angular resolut Alternative signal MC Signal MC statistics Total

	Detector correction (C)
	0.263 ± 0.021
	5%
у	4%
	2%
	1%
ion	2%
tion	2%
	1%
	1%
	8%

$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow WW$ measurement in pp

Source of uncertainty

Experimental

Track reconstruction Electron energy scale and resolution, an Muon momentum scale and resolution, Misidentified leptons, systematic Misidentified leptons, statistical Other background, statistical

Modelling

Pile-up modelling Underlying-event modelling Signal modelling WW modelling Other background modelling

Luminosity

Total

	Impact [% of the fitted cross section]	
	1.1	
nd efficiency	0.4	
and efficiency	0.5	
	1.5	
	5.9	
	3.2	
	1.1	
	1.4	
	2.1	
	4.0	
	1.7	
	1.7	
	8.9	

Source of systematic uncertai

Forward detector Global alignment Beam optics Resolution and kinematic Track reconstruction efficie Alignment rotation Clustering and track-findin Central detector Track veto efficiency Pileup modeling Muon scale and resolution Muon trigger, isolation, red Electron trigger, isolation, Electron scale and resolution Background modeling Luminosity

linty	Impact
	6%
	5%
matching	3–5%
ency	3%
	1%
ng procedure	< 1%
	5%
	$2 extsf{-}3\%$
	3%
construction efficiencies	1%
reconstruction efficiencies	1%
ion	1%
	2%
	2%

